United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,237	12/08/2003	Jung-Sun Kang	8045-44 (PX1585-US/SSD)	2525
22150 F. CHAU & A	7590 07/10/2007 SSOCIATES, LLC		EXAMINER	
130 WOODBURY ROAD WOODBURY, NY 11797			WONG, ALLEN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/730,237	KANG, JUNG-SUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allen Wong	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2007.						
·—						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ drawing(s) be held in abeyan tion is required if the drawing(nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	, , □ , , , , -	(070 440)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/730,237 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Species I, claims 1-9, Figure 9 in the reply filed on 6/22/07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

For the following communication, the non-elected claims 10-20 should be listed as cancelled with the status identifiers.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1-3 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanami (6,765,965) in view of Ohtani (6,671,321).

Regarding claim 1, Hanami discloses a motion estimator of a video encoder, comprising:

a search region data memory for storing video data of a previous video frame (fig.29, elements 41-42 store the video data of the previous video frame);

a macroblock data memory for storing macroblock data of a current video frame (fig.29, element 40 stores the current video frame in that the video frame comprises the plurality of macroblocks);

a first sub sampling circuit for sub-sampling by ratio M:1 the video data of a previous frame read from the search region data memory in response to a sub-sampling rate control signal (fig.29, element 66 and col.20, In.32-44);

a second sub-sampling circuit for sub-sampling, by ratio M:1, current video frame data read from the macroblock data memory in response to the sub-sampling rate control signal (fig.29, element 60 and 61 for sub-sampling the current video frame data, where element 70 is a controller that control the distribution of search areas);

a search region deciding circuit for outputting a search region decision signal (fig.27, Hanami discloses the search area SAA of MD#A for performing and outputting a search region decision data for MD#A, and fig.28, Hanami discloses the search area SAB of MD#B for performing and outputting a search region decision data for MD#B; also see fig.31 shows the details of element FMD# of fig.24 in that element 81 for determining the output of the search region decision data);

a processing element (PE) array network for sequentially calculating a SAD (sum of absolute differences) value of the data outputted from the first sub-sampling circuit and the search region data outputted from the data array circuit, according to a designation of the search region decided by the search region deciding circuit, to sequentially output a plurality of SAD values (fig.24 and 29, element 1 comprises MD#A

Application/Control Number: 10/730,237

Art Unit: 2621

and MD#B for sequentially calculating the sum of absolute differences from data obtained from sub-sampling unit 66);

a motion vector comparator for receiving the plurality of SAD (sum of the absolute differences) values sequentially outputted from the PE array network, and comparing the SAD value with a previous SAD value, to detect a minimum SAD value as a motion vector value (fig.24 and 29, element 1, Hanami discloses "FINAL MOTION DETERMINING UNIT" that receives the plurality of sum of absolute values resulted from RMA and RMB, ascertained from elements MD#A and MD#B, for determining the final optimum motion vector from a plurality of motion vector data values).

Hanami does not specifically disclose a data array circuit for arraying video data outputted from the first sub-sampling circuit so that motion vector estimation candidates can be outputted sequentially a second sub-sampling circuit for sub-sampling, by ratio M:1, current video frame data read from the macroblock data memory in response to the sub-sampling rate control signal. However, Ohtani teaches the utilization of arraying, gathering or organizing of the video data from the sub-sampling of search areas in that the motion vector estimation candidates can be sequentially outputted (fig.4-5, note the search region A is prioritized as first, the search region B-C is prioritized as second, the search region D-E is prioritized as third, the search region F-I is prioritized as fourth, where the search positions and search sizes are varied, as well as the sub-sampling). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Hanami and Ohtani, as a whole, for advantageously, robustly detecting and outputting a motion vector with a high precision so as to produce

Application/Control Number: 10/730,237

Art Unit: 2621

high quality images at the display without increasing hardware requirements (Ohtani col.5, In.21-25).

Regarding claim 2, Hanami discloses further comprising: a macroblock measure circuit for receiving the current frame video data read from the macroblock data memory to calculate the sum of absolute differences between a mean intensity of a macroblock and an intensity of each pixel of the macroblock (fig.27, Hanami discloses the search area SAA of MD#A for performing and outputting a search region decision data for MD#A); and wherein the search region deciding circuit is adapted to output the search region decision signal based upon the sum (A) of absolute differences between a mean intensity of a macroblock and the intensity of each pixel of the macroblock as calculated by the macroblock measure circuit (fig.27, Hanami discloses the search area SAA of MD#A for performing and outputting a search region decision data for MD#A, and fig.28, Hanami discloses the search area SAB of MD#B for performing and outputting a search region decision data for MD#B; also see fig.31 shows the details of element FMD# of fig.24 in that element 81 for determining the output of the search region decision data).

Regarding claim 3, Hanami discloses further comprising a comparator for selecting an intermode or an intramode based upon a comparison of the sum (A) of the absolute differences between the mean intensity of the macroblock and the intensity of each pixel of the macroblock, with a predetermined threshold value (fig.18, note the memory distance decision unit 55d decides how close are the relationships between the adjacent frames, thus, intermode or intramode is determined).

Application/Control Number: 10/730,237 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 7, Hanami discloses wherein a +-4 pixel search region for a 4x4 pixel block is operatively divided into four +-2 pixel search regions, and the PE array network sequentially searches the four .+-.2 pixel search regions to sequentially output the SAD values for the 4x4 pixel block within the +-4 pixel search region (fig.5 and fig.29, note the use of MD#A and MD#B, in that the search regions are adjustable).

Regarding claim 8, Hanami discloses wherein for performing a search for a 8x8 pixel block within a +-2 pixel search region, the 8x8 pixel block is operatively divided into four 4x4 pixel sub-blocks, and the PE array network sequentially searches for each of the 4x4 sub-blocks within the +-2 pixel search region and sequentially outputs four SAD values for each one of the 25 search points within the +-2 pixel search region (fig.8, note search can be done for a 8x8 block as illustrated in MD#1; fig.29, note the use of MD#A and MD#B, in that the search regions are adjustable).

Regarding claim 9, Hanami discloses wherein for performing a search for a 16x16 pixel macroblock within a search region, the 16x16 pixel macroblock is operatively divided into sixteen 4x4 pixel sub-blocks, and the PE array network sequentially searches for each of the 4x4 sub-blocks within the search region and sequentially outputs sixteen SAD values for each one of the search points within the search region (fig.8, note search can be done for a 16x16 block as illustrated in MD#2; and fig.29, note the use of MD#A and MD#B, in that the search regions are adjustable).

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2621

Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7341. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 8am-6pm Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2621

pw (/2det